
**The Philip C. Jessup
International Law
Moot Court Competition**

2001 Official Rules

**INTERNATIONAL LAW STUDENTS ASSOCIATION
1615 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20009 USA
TEL: 1-202-299-9101
FAX: 1-202-299-9102
EMAIL: ilsa@iamdigex.net**

OFFICIAL RULE 1.0 ORGANIZATION OF THE COMPETITION 1

 1.1 ADMINISTRATION 1

 1.1.1 Competition Procedure 1

 1.1.2 Regional/National Administrators 1

 1.1.3 Implementation and Interpretation of Official Rules..... 1

 1.2 TEAM REPRESENTATIVE 1

OFFICIAL RULE 2.0 PARTICIPATION AND ELIGIBILITY 1

 2.1 TEAM ELIGIBILITY 1

 2.2 TEAM MEMBER ELIGIBILITY..... 2

 2.2.1 Required Externships and Apprenticeships 2

 2.2.2 Participation by Joint Program Students 2

 2.2.3 LL.M. Students 2

 2.2.4 Returning Students..... 2

 2.3 TEAM COMPOSITION AND SELECTION 2

 2.3.1 Selection by Intramural Competitions 2

 2.3.2 Nationality Requirements 2

 2.3.3 Prohibition of Academic Screening..... 3

 2.4 OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE TO TEAMS 3

 2.4.1 Assistance from Faculty Members, Coaches and Advisors 3

 2.4.2 Assistance from Librarians and Other Research Professionals 3

 2.5 CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE JUDGES' BENCH MEMORANDUM 3

 2.6 USE OF OPPOSING TEAM'S MEMORIALS 3

 2.7 ETHICAL VIOLATIONS..... 3

OFFICIAL RULE 3.0 TEAM REGISTRATION 3

 3.1 TEAM REGISTRATION FORM AND FEE 3

 3.2 REGISTERING NAMES OF TEAM MEMBERS 4

 3.3 TEAM NUMBER AS IDENTIFICATION 4

 3.3.1 Team Number as Identification on Memorials..... 4

OFFICIAL RULE 4.0 REGIONAL/NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION..... 4

 4.1 NATIONAL REPRESENTATION 4

 4.1.1 Special Jurisdictions..... 4

 4.2 REGIONAL/NATIONAL ADMINISTRATORS..... 4

OFFICIAL RULE 5.0 WORLD REGIONAL ADMINISTRATION..... 4

 5.1 WORLD REGIONAL REPRESENTATION 4

 5.2 WORLD REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR 4

OFFICIAL RULE 6.0 JUDGES (REGIONAL, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ROUNDS) 5

 6.1 THREE JUDGE PANELS 5

 6.2 ANONYMITY OF TEAMS 5

 6.3 FACULTY ADVISORS AND COACHES AS JUDGES..... 5

 6.4 JUDGES AFFILIATED WITH SCHOOLS IN THE COMPETITION 5

 6.5 DISQUALIFICATION OF REGIONAL/NATIONAL ADMINISTRATORS FROM JUDGING..... 5

 6.6 PRIOR VIEWING OF TEAMS 5

 6.7 NOTIFICATION TO ADMINISTRATOR OF INCOMPATIBLE JUDGES OR TEAMS..... 5

 6.8 BENCH MEMORANDUM 6

 6.9 COMMENTARY BY COMPETITION JUDGES 6

OFFICIAL RULE 7.0 CLARIFICATIONS OF THE JESSUP PROBLEM OR RULES 6

OFFICIAL RULE 8.0 MEMORIALS 6

 8.1 SUBMISSION OF MEMORIALS 6

 8.1.1 Memorials of Teams Advancing to the International Competition 7

8.1.2 Memorials of Teams Advancing to the Dillard Competition	7
8.1.3 Memorials Submitted in Languages Other Than English	7
8.2 FORMAT OF MEMORIALS	7
8.3 PARTS OF THE MEMORIAL	7
8.3.1 Statement of the Facts	7
8.3.2 Summary of the Pleadings	8
8.4 LENGTH.....	8
8.5 LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF FOOTNOTES/ENDNOTES	8
8.6 MARGINS	8
8.7 COVERS.....	8
8.7.1 Team Number as Identification on Memorial Cover.....	8
8.8 BINDING	8
OFFICIAL RULE 9.0 ORAL PLEADING PROCEDURES.....	8
9.1 GENERAL PROCEDURES	8
9.1.1 Extension of Time at Judges' Discretion.....	9
9.2 PLEADINGS.....	9
9.2.1 Rebuttal and Surrebuttal.....	9
9.2.2 Scope of Pleadings.....	9
9.3 <i>EX PARTE</i> PROCEDURE	9
9.4 OF COUNSEL	9
9.5 COMPETITION COMMUNICATIONS	9
9.5.1 Oral Courtroom Communication between Counsel Table and Bench	9
9.5.2 Oral Courtroom Communication and Activity at Counsel Table.....	9
9.5.3 Written Courtroom Communication.....	10
9.5.4 Oral or Written Communication Between Judges and Participants	10
9.5.5 Exhibits	10
9.6 SPECTATORS	10
9.6.1 Scouting	10
9.7 INTERPRETERS	10
9.7.1 Regional/National Competitions.....	10
9.7.2 International Competition	10
9.7.3 Team Members as Interpreters	11
9.7.4 Non-Embellishment by Interpreters.....	11
9.8 AUDIO AND VIDEOTAPING	11
9.9 ANONYMITY OF TEAMS IN COURTROOMS	11
OFFICIAL RULE 10.0 REGIONAL/NATIONAL PAIRING PROCEDURES	11
10.1 PRELIMINARY ROUNDS.....	11
10.1.1 Oralists' Round Designations	11
10.1.2 Pairings.....	11
10.1.3 Sudden Death Rounds.....	12
10.2 QUARTERFINAL ROUNDS	12
10.3 SEMIFINAL ROUNDS.....	12
10.4 CHAMPIONSHIP ROUNDS.....	12
10.5 PLEADING OPTION.....	12
10.5.1 Exercise of Pleading option	12
10.5.2 Automatic Pleading Designation	12
10.5.3 Exchange of Memorials	13
OFFICIAL RULE 11.0 INTERNATIONAL PAIRING PROCEDURES.....	13
11.1 REPRESENTATION	13
11.2 PRELIMINARY ROUNDS.....	13
11.2.1 Pairings for the Preliminary Rounds	13
11.2.2 Religious Scheduling Conflicts.....	13
11.2.3 Round Designations for the Best Oralist Competition	13
11.3 INTERNATIONAL RUN-OFF AND QUARTERFINAL ROUNDS	13

11.3.1 National Representation Limitation	14
11.3.2 Pairings for the International Run-Off and Quarterfinal Rounds	14
11.3.2.1 General Pairing Rule – Power-Seeding.....	14
11.3.2.2 Previous Meeting Qualification.....	14
11.3.2.3 National Pair-off Qualification	14
11.4 INTERNATIONAL SEMIFINAL ROUNDS	15
11.5 PLEADING OPTION IN THE INTERNATIONAL ROUNDS OF THE COMPETITION	15
OFFICIAL RULE 12.0 COMPETITION SCORING	16
12.1 PRELIMINARY ROUNDS	16
12.2 CALCULATION OF SCORING POINTS.....	16
12.2.1 Raw Scores.....	16
12.2.1.1 Memorial Raw Scores	16
12.2.1.2 Oral Raw Scores	16
12.2.1.3 Total Raw Scores.....	16
12.2.2 Round Points	17
12.2.2.1 Memorial Round Points.....	17
12.2.2.2 Oral Round Points	17
12.2.2.3 Total Round Points.....	17
12.3 Two (2) JUDGE PANELS.....	17
12.3.1 Memorial Scoring for Two (2) Judge Panels.....	17
12.3.2 Oral Pleading Scoring for Two (2) Judge Panels.....	17
12.4 DETERMINATION OF WINNERS AND RANKINGS FROM PRELIMINARY ROUNDS.....	17
12.4.1 Determination of Winner of an Individual Round.....	17
12.4.2 Win-loss Record.....	17
12.4.3 Total Competition Raw Scores	17
12.4.4 Total Competition Round Points.....	18
12.4.5 Tie-Breaking Procedure	18
12.5 SCORING PROCEDURES FOR RUN-OFF, QUARTERFINAL, SEMIFINAL AND CHAMPIONSHIP ROUNDS.....	18
12.5.1 Method of Scoring.....	18
12.5.2 Preliminary Round Scores	19
12.5.3 Judges' Comments.....	19
12.6 RANKING OF TEAMS	19
12.7 RANKING OF ORALISTS	19
12.8 RANKING OF MEMORIALS.....	19
12.9 REPORTING OF RESULTS	19
OFFICIAL RULE 13.0 PENALTIES	20
13.1 GENERAL PROCEDURE.....	20
13.2 TYPES OF PENALTIES	20
13.3 APPLICATION OF PENALTIES	20
13.4 MINIMUM SCORES	20
13.5 NON-DISCRETIONARY PENALTIES	20
13.5.1 Non-Discretionary Memorial Penalties	20
13.5.1.1 Tardiness in Mailing Memorials	20
13.5.1.2 Extreme Tardiness in Mailing Memorials.....	20
13.5.1.3 Failure to Include a Summary of Pleadings or other additional parts	20
13.5.1.4 Failure to Include a Statement of Facts, or submission of an argumentative Statement of Facts	20
13.5.1.5 Failure to Differentiate Applicant and Respondent Memorials	21
13.5.1.6 Displaying Names of Team Members on Memorials	21
13.5.1.7 Inclusion of School Name	21
13.5.1.8 Failure to Include Team Number on Cover of Memorial	21
13.5.1.9 Failure to Designate Proper Memorial Type	21
13.5.1.10 Failure to Double-Space Pleadings Section	21
13.5.1.11 Failure to Double-Space Between Footnotes and Headings	21
13.5.1.12 Length of the entire Memorial	21
13.5.1.13 Length of the Pleadings.....	21
13.5.1.14 Length of the Summary of Pleadings	21
13.5.1.15 Type-size.....	21

13.5.1.16 Margins.....	21
13.5.1.17 Binding.....	21
13.5.2 Non-discretionary Oral Pleading Penalties	21
13.5.2.1 Procedure.....	21
13.5.2.2 Consultation with Bailiffs.....	22
13.5.2.3 Deduction of Penalties by Judges Prohibited	22
13.5.3 Activity Subject to Non-Discretionary Penalties	22
13.5.3.1 Communication Between Counsel Table and Spectators	22
13.5.3.2 Submission of Written or Other Materials to Judges	22
13.5.3.3 Scouting.....	22
13.5.3.4 Identification of Team by School Name or Nation	22
13.6 DISCRETIONARY PENALTIES	22
13.6.1 General Violations	22
13.6.2 Special Consideration for Oral Rounds	22
13.6.3 Activity Subject to Discretionary Penalties	23
13.6.3.1 Unsportsmanlike Behavior by Team Members or Affiliated Persons	23
13.6.3.2 Activity of Affiliated Persons.....	23
13.7 NOTICE TO TEAMS	23
13.8 APPEALS	23
OFFICIAL RULE 14.0 INTERPRETATION OF RULES.....	23
14.1 GENERAL	23
14.2 DE MINIMIS RULE.....	24
14.3 POWER TO PROMULGATE ADDITIONAL MEASURES	24
OFFICIAL RULE 15.0 AWARDS.....	24
15.1 MEMORIAL AWARDS	24
15.1.1 Regional/National Competitions.....	24
15.1.1.1 The Hardy C. Dillard Award.....	24
15.1.2 International Competition	24
15.1.2.1 The Alona E. Evans Award.....	24
15.1.2.3 The Richard Baxter Award.....	24
15.2 BEST ORALIST AWARDS	24
15.3 TEAM AWARDS	25
15.3.1 Regional/National Competitions.....	25
15.3.2 International Competition	25
15.3.2.1 Quarterfinal Rounds - Top Eight Teams	25
15.3.2.2 Semifinal Rounds - Top Four Teams	25
15.3.2.3 World Championship Jessup Cup Round	25
15.3.2.4 Spirit of the Jessup Award.....	25

OFFICIAL RULE 1.0 ORGANIZATION OF THE COMPETITION

1.1 Administration

The Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition (the "Competition") is co-sponsored annually by the International Law Students Association (ILSA) and The American Society of International Law (ASIL). The Official Co-Sponsor of the Competition in Europe is the European Law Students Association (ELSA). The Competition is administered by the Executive Director of ILSA. The ILSA Executive Office is located at 1615 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20009, U.S.A., tel: 202-299-9101, fax: 202-299-9102, email: ilsa@iamdigex.net.

1.1.1 Competition Procedure

The Competition consists of two levels of competitions: (1) Regional or National Rounds, and (2) International Rounds. Regional or National Competitions are held in each nation where more than one team wishes to participate in the Jessup Competition. The International Rounds represent the final stage of the Competition and include the International Preliminary Rounds, Run-offs, Quarterfinals, Semifinals, and the World Championship Jessup Cup Round. Each level of competition shall consist of written pleadings and oral pleadings. Each nation is permitted to enter one (1) team in the International Rounds of the Competition for every ten (10) teams participating in that nation, whether in a National Competition, a Regional Competition, or otherwise, pursuant to these Rules.

The exact number of teams and manner in which they are chosen, whether through separate Regional Competitions or a single National Competition, shall be determined by the Executive Director.

1.1.2 Regional/National Administrators

Regional/National Administrators shall be appointed by the Executive Director, upon consultation with representatives from each region or nation respectively. Each Regional and National Competition shall be held at locations designated by the Regional or National Administrator and shall be conducted in a manner consistent with these Rules, and in consultation with the Executive Director. Each team selected as a Regional or National Representative shall advance directly to the International Rounds of the Competition as a representative of its respective Nation or Region, as applicable.

1.1.3 Implementation and Interpretation of Official Rules

The Executive Director shall serve as final arbiter of implementation and interpretation of these Official Rules.

1.2 Team Representative

As part of its registration for the Competition, each team shall designate one person to act as team representative (for example, this person may be the team captain, coach, or faculty advisor). Notice to the team representative shall constitute notice to all team members. Each team representative shall ensure that ILSA has the appropriate team contact information for this year's competition, check the mail regularly and become thoroughly familiar with the Official Rules and Procedures of the Competition, applicable National Rules, and the Problem Clarifications which shall be issued.

OFFICIAL RULE 2.0 PARTICIPATION AND ELIGIBILITY

2.1 Team Eligibility

All law schools and international law-related advanced degree programs shall be eligible to participate in the Competition upon formal acceptance by the Executive Director. Each school may enter one team. In extenuating circumstances, a National Administrator may petition the Executive Director, in writing, to allow dual representation from a single school. Such representation may be allowed if it is established that: 1) a local competition would be otherwise difficult or impossible to hold due to school regulations, calendars or other circumstances beyond the control of the Administrator or participating teams; or 2) the teams represent different colleges or departments of the same school and will be participating independently of each other; and 3) each team independently fulfills the participation and registration requirements of these Official Rules.

Teams which have outstanding debts for fees or other Competition obligations under applicable rules shall not be eligible to compete in the following year's Competition until such fees or obligations have been paid in full.

2.2 Team Member Eligibility

All students enrolled on a full-time or part-time basis in a program of study leading to the equivalent of a U.S. Juris Doctor or an international law-related advanced degree (i.e. Masters or Doctorate) are eligible to compete in the Competition. Only students who have not completed studies, exams or apprenticeships sufficient to enable them to practice law in any jurisdiction will be allowed to participate.

Team members must be enrolled as students at only one institution during the academic year of the Competition, subject to the following exceptions:

2.2.1 Required Externships and Apprenticeships

Students who complete their primary legal studies after the release of the Competition Problem in any year and are enrolled in externship or apprenticeship programs shall be allowed, with the written approval of the Executive Director, to participate in the Competition if: 1) the externship or apprenticeship is required as a part of their legal education; and 2) the externship or apprenticeship does not involve work of a nature which would provide the student a significant or unfair advantage over other students participating in the Competition. In exceptional circumstances the Executive Director may consider extended externships or apprenticeship programs beyond one year in length.

2.2.2 Participation by Joint Program Students

The Executive Director may, upon written request, allow the participation of teams composed of a visiting student studying in a joint program between schools or on a special one-semester program at a school other than the student's primary school of enrollment.

2.2.3 LL.M. Students

Students enrolled in a full-time program leading to a Master of Laws Degree (LL.M.) or its equivalent may, with the written approval of the Executive Director, participate in the Competition if: 1) they entered the LL.M. or equivalent program within eight (8) months after completing their primary legal education; 2) they did not, during the interim, engage in the practice of law or any work of a nature which would provide the student a significant or unfair advantage over other students participating in the Competition; and 3) they otherwise meet the requirements of these Official Rules.

2.2.4 Returning Students

Students who have enrolled full or part-time in a program of study leading to the equivalent of a U.S. Juris Doctor or an international law-related advanced degree more than one year after the completion of studies leading to the equivalent of a U.S. bachelor's degree may, with the written approval of the Executive Director, participate in the Competition if: 1) they did not, during the period they were not enrolled full or part-time in classes, engage in the practice of law or any work of a nature which would provide the student a significant or unfair advantage over other students participating in the Competition; and 2) they otherwise meet the requirements of the Official Rules of the Competition.

2.3 Team Composition and Selection

A team shall be composed of two (2) to five (5) student members. Team members may be chosen by any method approved by the responsible authority within the school, subject to the following provisions:

2.3.1 Selection by Intramural Competitions

If more than five (5) students overall, or more than one (1) complete team of students, from a single University, whether in the same or different departments of the same school, desire to participate in the Competition, an Intramural Competition administered by a responsible authority at the school shall be held between the students or teams to determine which team will represent the University. Intramural Competitions shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of these Official Rules. If Jessup Problems are used in the Intramural Competition, past problems shall be used in lieu of the current problem, unless approved in writing by the Executive Director.

2.3.2 Nationality Requirements

National Administrators may not, without the written approval of the Executive Director, set nationality requirements with regard to the composition of teams representing their nation. Requests for such approval shall state clearly in writing, the reasons for such a requirement, and must be incorporated in approved

National Rules Supplement. In the absence of such approval, all eligible students at a participating school shall be allowed to participate on a Competition team.

2.3.3 Prohibition of Academic Screening

All students at participating schools must be given an equal opportunity to try out for the Jessup team, subject to approved National Rules Supplements. In no instance may a grade-point average, class rank, or other academic ranking factor disqualify a student from trying out for, or participating on, a Jessup Team from any University, unless a student has an academic probationary status at the participating school.

2.4 Outside Assistance to Teams

All research, writing and editing must be solely the product of team members.

2.4.1 Assistance from Faculty Members, Coaches and Advisors

Outside assistance rendered to a team in the preparation of its case by faculty members, coaches, or team advisors shall be limited to a general discussion of the issues, suggestions as to research sources, consultations regarding oral advocacy technique, and decision-making as to pleading option or similar strategy in Competition elimination rounds. Assistance shall be limited to general commentary on memorial organization and structure, the flow of arguments, and format.

2.4.2 Assistance from Librarians and Other Research Professionals

Assistance from librarians, computer research advisors, and other legal resource specialists in preparing Competition materials shall be limited to answering specific questions regarding the location of legal sources or general legal research methods.

2.5 Confidentiality of the Judges' Bench Memorandum

The contents of the Bench Memorandum, as described in Official Rule 6.8, are strictly confidential. Any team found making use of the current Bench Memorandum shall be eliminated from the Competition. Teams discovering a copy of the Bench Memorandum should immediately return it, without examining the contents, to the Competition Administrator.

2.6 Use of Opposing Team's Memorials

No team shall be allowed to view or otherwise become privy to any memorial other than the respective Applicant and Respondent memorials of scheduled opposing teams in the course of National/Regional and International Rounds.

2.7 Ethical Violations

All incidents or allegations of ethical violations shall be referred to the Executive Director. Teams found to have received inappropriate outside assistance, e.g. use of non-team members as student researchers, inappropriate professional assistance, or plagiarism, shall be eliminated from the Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 3.0 TEAM REGISTRATION

3.1 Team Registration Form and Fee

Each team participating in the Competition shall remit the appropriate registration fee with ILSA/Jessup Registration Form to the ILSA Executive Office by the date posted in the Official Timetable.

ILSA Member Schools in good standing are entitled to pay a discounted fee for participation in the Jessup Competition.

Teams unable to pay the Jessup fee may apply in writing to the Executive Director for a waiver. Such application should include the reason the team is unable to pay the fee and a letter by the Dean of the Law School. The Executive Director shall grant waivers in exceptional circumstances only.

Registration Forms may be requested by contacting ILSA at: 1615 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009; Tel: 202-299-9101; Fax: 202-299-9102; E-mail: ilsa@iamdigex.net. Teams may also download them from the ILSA web site <http://www.ilsa.org>.

3.2 Registering Names of Team Members

Each team competing in the Jessup Competition shall submit all team members' names by December 31.

Team members' names shall be clearly printed or typed on the form, with attention given to the spelling of each team member's name. Names shall appear in the manner that team members wish them to appear on their Jessup participation certificates.

3.3 Team Number as Identification

Each team shall be assigned an official team number selected by the Executive Director. Team numbers will be distributed by the Regional/National Administrators. Countries with only one team will be issued a team number directly from the ILSA Executive Office.

Teams shall use their team numbers for identification purposes.

3.3.1 Team Number as Identification on Memorials

Each Memorial must carry the official Jessup Team Number. This number must be placed in the upper right-hand corner of the outside front cover of the appropriate memorial, followed by an "A" for the Applicant memorial and an "R" for the Respondent memorial (For example, for team number 000, the identification of "000 A" or "000 R" would appear at the upper right hand corner of the outside front cover of the Applicant or Respondent Memorials respectively.)

Names of participants, nations or schools may not appear on or within the memorials. Signature pages are prohibited. Competition Administrators shall strike all references to team member or school names from the memorials prior to submitting them to judges.

OFFICIAL RULE 4.0 REGIONAL/NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

4.1 National Representation

Any nation in the world may organize a National Competition, the winner(s) of which shall advance to the International Rounds.

4.1.1 Special Jurisdictions

At the discretion of the Executive Director, special jurisdictions may enter teams in the Competition. For this purpose, special jurisdictions may include colonies, trust territories, and similar distinct geographic regions, with or without complete National sovereignty. For the purposes of these Official Rules, the term "nation" shall include special jurisdictions. The term "National Administrator" shall include the administrators of such special jurisdictions.

4.2 Regional/National Administrators

Regional/National Administrators shall be appointed by the Executive Director. A Regional/National Administrator may not serve as coach or in any other way assist a team registered in the Regional/National Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 5.0 WORLD REGIONAL ADMINISTRATION

5.1 World Regional Representation

Upon agreement with the Executive Director, nations within one geographic region of the world may join together for purposes of a World Regional Competition. Winning teams from each represented nation shall be recognized as National Champions. Top teams from the World Regional shall advance to the International Rounds and shall be recognized as a World Regional Representative in addition to their National Representation.

5.2 World Regional Administrator

World Regional Administrators shall be appointed by the Executive Director. A World Regional Administrator may not serve as coach, or in any other way assist a team registered in the World Regional Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 6.0 JUDGES (REGIONAL, NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ROUNDS)

6.1 Three Judge Panels

Three (3) judge panels shall be utilized whenever possible. Students shall not serve as judges, except LL.M. and other post-graduate degree candidates not directly affiliated with any team participating in the Competition they are judging. The Administrator may employ more than three (3) judges in Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal and Championship Rounds of the Competition. **In extenuating circumstances, the Administrator may authorize panels of two (2) judges, but this should be done only as a last resort. In no case should an Administrator authorize a panel of one (1) judge.**

6.2 Anonymity of Teams

The identity of a team shall be kept completely confidential at Regional and National Competitions. Although judges for the International Competition are allowed to know individual participants during the competition, the schools and nationalities of the participants shall remain anonymous. The Executive Director shall not expose the identity of teams. However, in circumstances where the judge's final score for the memorial would be affected by knowledge of whether or not the native language of the team is English, a memorial judge may request the Executive Director to reveal whether English is the native language of the team.

6.3 Faculty Advisors and Coaches as Judges

No Faculty Advisors, team coaches, or other persons directly affiliated with a team participating in the Competition may act as a judge in any level of the Competition. Exceptions may be made at the International Rounds when the advisor, coach or other affiliated person's own school is not participating or neither of the two (2) teams in the Round is scheduled against the advisor, coach or other affiliated person's school later in the Competition.

Faculty Advisors, coaches and other directly affiliated parties acting as judges shall not disclose the contents of the Judge's Memorandum or other oralists' arguments to any Competition participant, and participants shall avoid any such influence.

6.4 Judges Affiliated With Schools in the Competition

No judge should sit on a panel of any round at any level of the Competition involving a team from a University with which the judge has an affiliation, acquaintance or other role which would create an appearance of impropriety, except in situations disclosed and approved by the Executive Director. Merely being an alumnus of a host school does not constitute a violation.

Judges should disqualify themselves from judging a team if they have a personal or professional relationship with the school or someone affiliated with that team, and if that relationship might jeopardize their impartiality or create an appearance of impropriety. However, judges should not disqualify themselves from judging a round merely because they have an acquaintance with a team member or other affiliation or relationship with the school.

6.5 Disqualification of Regional/National Administrators From Judging

In no case shall a Competition Administrator act as a judge in actual or exhibition Rounds of the Competition for which the Administrator is responsible, or in any other way assist a registered team in the Competition unless approved by the Executive Director.

6.6 Prior Viewing of Teams

Judges should not view a team which they have viewed in a previous Round of the Competition. If a judge must view a team twice, the Administrator shall strive to ensure that the judge views the team's opposite side.

6.7 Notification to Administrator of Incompatible Judges or Teams

Teams should bring to the attention of the Competition Administrator the names of judges or potential judges whose relationship to their school or to their team is such that it might create an appearance of impropriety if that person judged their team. In such cases, the Competition Administrator should consult the judge(s) concerned. The final decision in such matters will rest with the Competition Administrator.

It is the responsibility of the team members aware of a conflict to bring the conflict to the attention of the bailiff and/or Competition Administrator prior to the beginning of the round. Complaints regarding conflicts not raised before the beginning of a round are waived.

Mere recognition of a judge is insufficient grounds for elimination from the panel. The judge must be able to recognize a competitor and to connect that competitor with a particular school. (see Official Rule 6.4)

6.8 Bench Memorandum

A Memorandum of Law and Authority (hereinafter the "Bench Memorandum") shall be distributed to the Competition judges for purposes of briefing them on the legal issues addressed in the Competition Problem. The contents of the Bench Memorandum are strictly confidential to all but Judges and Competition Administrators.

6.9 Commentary by Competition Judges

Judges in any Round of the Competition are encouraged to provide direct feedback to teams regarding the teams' performance at the completion of the Round. Judges shall not reveal to teams the results of their individual determinations or the teams' Raw Scores, nor shall they provide any substantive feedback that would reveal their individual determinations or contents of the Bench Memorandum.

OFFICIAL RULE 7.0 CLARIFICATIONS OF THE JESSUP PROBLEM OR RULES

Participants may submit written requests for clarifications of the Jessup Problem or Rules. Requests for clarifications must be received by the date as posted in the Official Timetable. Teams may submit requests for clarifications by mail, fax or email. The participant is responsible for ensuring the request is received by the Executive Office by the date posted in the Official Timetable. If mailed the ILSA Office will acknowledge receipt of requests for clarifications only if a self-addressed stamped post card is included with the request.

All clarifications to legitimate requests will be summarized and posted on the date as posted in the Official Timetable. It is the responsibility of each team to ensure that the clarifications are received and noted in preparation for the Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 8.0 MEMORIALS

8.1 Submission of Memorials

All memorials submitted in the Competition must conform to the following general requirements. Teams will be penalized for failure to keep within these limitations and judges may also take appearance of the memorial into consideration when evaluating the written effort.

Each team participating in the Competition shall prepare an Applicant and a Respondent memorial, and timely mail one (1) copy of each memorial in paper form and on a 3 ¼ inch computer diskette to the Executive Director and Regional/National Administrator by the deadline in the Official Timetable, or the date of an approved Regional/National Competition deadline where applicable. The memorial document must be in one of the following formats: WordPerfect, Word for Windows, or Text (ASCII or Plain Text). No other computer format medium will be accepted without the express prior consent of the Executive Director.

Memorials must be mailed in accordance with the provisions of the National Rules Supplement, such as the **United States Supplement** or the **Canadian Supplement**, where applicable. If mailing requirements are not stipulated in a National Rules Supplement, memorials shall be mailed by express, registered, or certified mail in North America. Memorials shall be mailed by express or air mail if outside North America.

Memorials and computer diskettes shall be mailed to the Executive Director on the same date as required for the Regional/National Competitions, and must be identical to those sent to the Regional/National Administrator for the Regional/National Competitions. The memorial on diskette must be identical to the hard copy of the memorial submitted. Teams which fail to mail memorials on time will be penalized. Equipment failure or problems, including computer disk failure, will not be considered an excuse for improper formatting or late mailing of memorials.

Once submitted to the Executive Director, memorials may not be altered. If pages are inadvertently left out in the collating process, the Executive Director may allow a team to correct the deficiency, and may assess appropriate

discretionary penalties. The act of word processing or producing a computer printout, and any attendant failure in computer systems, is not considered part of a collating process for the purposes of these Rules.

8.1.1 Memorials of Teams Advancing to the International Competition

Each team advancing to the International Rounds and the Alona E. Evans Competition shall mail an additional thirty (30) copies of both its Applicant and Respondent memorials to the Executive Director by the date indicated in the Official Timetable.

8.1.2 Memorials of Teams Advancing to the Dillard Competition

Memorials for the Dillard Competition must be prepared in English. Those teams advancing to the Dillard Competition shall mail to the Executive Director six (6) copies of their Applicant and Respondent memorials by the date as indicated in the Official Timetable. If the six (6) copies are mailed with the thirty (30) copies for the International Rounds, the six (6) copies shall be in a separate envelope marked as submissions for the Dillard Competition.

8.1.3 Memorials Submitted in Languages Other Than English

Memorials for Regional/National Competitions, if National Rules Supplements provide, may be prepared in languages other than English. However, memorials of teams advancing to the International Rounds of the Competition must be translated to English, and must otherwise conform to the required format outlined in these Official Rules, prior to submission to the International Competition. A copy of the original language memorial shall be mailed to the Executive Director at the same time as the English-language memorials.

The translation of memorials shall be, to the greatest extent possible, verbatim. The contents of memorials may not be revised, updated or otherwise altered in the translation process. Alteration of the legal content of the memorials during translation shall result in elimination from the Competition.

8.2 Format of Memorials

Memorials must be typed and reproduced by offset printing, photocopying, or similar process. The process used must produce a clear, black image on white standard international A4 paper (21 x 29 3/4 centimeters), or US letter size paper (8 1/2 by 11 inches), except for the covers, where colored paper must be used. The font and size of the text of all parts of the memorial, including the footnotes, must be the same. The text of all parts of the memorial must be in either Times New Roman 12 or Courier 10, or larger type. The text of all parts of each memorial, except for footnotes and headings, must be double-spaced. The text of footnotes and headings may be single-spaced, but there must be double-spacing between separate footnotes and between headings and the Pleadings. Quotations of 50 words or more shall be block quoted (i.e. right and left indented) and may be single-spaced.

8.3 Parts of the Memorial

The memorial shall consist of the following parts:

- a) Table of Contents;
- b) Index of Authorities, which shall include corresponding page numbers;
- c) Statement of Jurisdiction;
- d) Questions Presented;
- e) Statement of Facts;
- f) Summary of Pleadings; and
- g) Pleadings, including the Conclusion/Prayer for Relief.

Issues or pleadings should only be discussed in the Pleadings section. Memorials including arguments or materials in any other part of the memorial, not included within the Pleadings, shall be penalized. (see also Rule 8.5)

8.3.1 Statement of the Facts

Each memorial shall include a full Statement of the Facts. A mere stipulation to the facts is prohibited. The Statement of the Facts shall be limited to the stipulated facts and necessary inferences from the problem. The Statement of the Facts must not include unsupported facts, distortions of stated facts, argumentative statements, or legal conclusions.

The fact pattern of the Jessup Problem is intentionally somewhat vague. Participants will be judged on their ability to make the facts conform to their arguments without changing the circumstances.

8.3.2 Summary of the Pleadings

Each memorial shall include a Summary of the Pleadings. The Summary of the Pleadings shall consist of a substantive summary of the pleadings presented in the memorial, rather than a simple reproduction of the pleading headings. The Summary of the Pleadings shall be no more than two (2) pages in length.

8.4 Length

The entire Memorial, including all parts of the memorial mentioned in Rule 8.3, may be no longer than 12,000 words. The Pleadings (written pleadings, Rule 8.3 (b), may be no longer than twenty-five (25) pages. Footnotes or endnotes are included within these 25 pages. The respective Regional/National Administrator and the Executive Director will count words. Therefore each memorial shall be submitted in paper form and on computer diskette to the Regional/National Administrator and ILSA Executive Office.

8.5 Limitations on the Use of Footnotes/Endnotes

Either footnotes or endnotes may be used. However, the footnotes or endnotes are to be used to cite authority only. Neither footnotes nor endnotes may include substantive pleadings, examples, or any text other than the actual cite. Footnotes and endnotes are included in the word count rule and the page limit rule of Official Rule 8.4.

Illustrative example of an acceptable footnote: Certain Norwegian Loans (Fr. v. Nor.), 1957 I.C.J. 9, 23-24 (July 6) [hereinafter Norwegian Loans].

Illustrative example of a footnote in violation of the Official Rules: Certain Norwegian Loans (Fr. v. Nor.), 1957 I.C.J. 9, 23-24 (July 6) [hereinafter Norwegian Loans] (holding that France's reservation in its declaration denying the Court jurisdiction over issues essentially within the national jurisdiction as understood by France could be utilized reciprocally by Norway).

8.6 Margins

Each page of the memorials (regardless of content) shall have margins of an average of at least one inch or two point six (2.6) centimeters on all sides, excluding page numbers.

8.7 Covers

Applicant memorials must be distinguished from Respondent memorials by two different-colored, non-white covers. The colors chosen by the teams to distinguish Applicant and Respondent memorials are at the teams' discretion so long as neither cover is white.

Each memorial should bear on its cover the following: (a) the team number; (b) the name of this Court (ICJ); (c) the year of the competition; (d) the name of the case; and (e) the title of the document (i.e., "Brief for Respondent" or "Brief for Applicant").

8.7.1 Team Number as Identification on Memorial Cover

The team number must be placed in the upper right-hand corner of the outside front cover of the each memorial, followed by an "A" for the Applicant memorial and an "R" for the Respondent memorial (For example, for team number 000, the identification of 000 A or 000 R would appear at the upper right hand corner of the outside front cover of the Applicant or Respondent Memorials respectively.).

8.8 Binding

Memorials must be fastened by two or three staples along the left side of the memorial. No other type of fastening device (such as ring binding or tape) is allowed.

OFFICIAL RULE 9.0 ORAL PLEADING PROCEDURES

9.1 General Procedures

The Oral Rounds of the Competition shall consist of ninety (90) minutes of pleadings. Each side shall be allotted forty-five (45) minutes. The forty-five (45) minute allotment of time shall include the pleadings of both oralists from one team and rebuttal or surrebuttal. No single oralist shall plead more than twenty-five (25) minutes, including rebuttal or surrebuttal. Time allocated for but not used by one oralist may not be used by another oralist or in the rebuttal or surrebuttal. Any team member may act as an oralist during any round of the Competition. Only

two oralists may plead on behalf of a team during any one Round. In extenuating circumstances the Executive Director has discretion to permit a single oralist to argue beyond the twenty-five (25) minute limit. Teams using interpreters shall be allotted additional time pursuant to the provisions of Official Rule 9.7.

9.1.1 Extension of Time at Judges' Discretion

Judges may, at their discretion, extend total team oral argument time beyond the forty-five (45) minute allocation, up to an additional ten (10) minutes per team. Oralists asked to further expand upon arguments may, in this instance, appear for more than the twenty-five (25) minute individual limit.

9.2 Pleadings

The order of the pleadings in each Round at all levels of the Competition shall be: Applicant 1 --> Applicant 2 --> Respondent 1 --> Respondent 2 --> Rebuttal (Applicant 1 or 2) --> Surrebuttal (Respondent 1 or 2).

9.2.1 Rebuttal and Surrebuttal

Each team shall be allowed to reserve up to ten (10) minutes at the start of the Round for rebuttal or surrebuttal. Team members should notify the courtroom bailiff prior to the start of the Round if they intend to reserve time for this purpose, and should announce this fact to the judges during their introductions at the beginning of the oral pleadings during the Round. Failure to announce will not waive the right to rebuttal or surrebuttal. Only one team member may deliver the rebuttal or surrebuttal.

9.2.2 Scope of Pleadings

The scope of the participant's oral pleadings is not limited to the scope of his or her written pleadings (submitted memorials). The scope of the Applicant's rebuttal is limited to the scope of the Respondent's pleading proper, and the scope of the Respondent's surrebuttal is limited to the scope of the Applicant's rebuttal. If the Applicant waives rebuttal there shall be no surrebuttal. No legal issues which were not addressed in the primary pleadings may be raised in the rebuttal or surrebuttal.

9.3 Ex Parte Procedure

In extreme circumstances, such as when a team fails to appear for a scheduled Round, the Competition Administrator, after waiting thirty (30) minutes, may allow the Round to proceed *ex parte*. In an *ex parte* proceeding, the attending team presents its oral pleading, which is scored by the judges as if they appeared against the opposing team. In such a case, the team that fails to appear for the scheduled Round shall forfeit all six (6) of the Round's oral pleading Round Points.

The Administrator may schedule an additional *ex parte* proceeding for the absent team later in the Competition, if time and administrative concerns permit. The scores from the absent team's *ex parte* proceeding will not affect the scoring of the original Round and shall be used only for purposes of calculating individual oral pleading scores.

9.4 Of Counsel

During each Round of the Competition, one (1) additional team member may sit at the counsel table with the two (2) oralists as counsel. The person acting as counsel shall be one of the five (5) team members. The person acting as counsel need not be the same person in each Round.

9.5 Competition Communications

9.5.1 Oral Courtroom Communication between Counsel Table and Bench

Only the judges, the two (2) oralists and the team member designated as counsel may communicate during the oral pleadings. There shall be no oral communication between the counsel table and any other individual during the Round.

9.5.2 Oral Courtroom Communication and Activity at Counsel Table

Every courtesy shall be given to oralists during oral argument. Communication at the counsel table shall be in writing to prevent disruption. Teams may be subject to discretionary penalties for unnecessary noise, outbursts, or other inappropriate behavior which distracts from the argument in progress. Penalties imposed shall be deducted from the oral pleading scores of both oralists of the offending team. See Official Rule 13.6.

9.5.3 Written Courtroom Communication

No written communication may take place between the oralist and counsel table, spectators or team members not present at the counsel table while the Round is in progress. This rule does not preclude, however, a team member from taking or being handed a treaty or other documents from the counsel table when the team member has been questioned about such documents during the course of his or her argument. Written communication may take place at the counsel table itself.

9.5.4 Oral or Written Communication Between Judges and Participants

No oral or written communication may take place between judges and participants or directly affiliated parties during any stage of the Competition, except for the courtroom pleadings and official judge's critiques, as well as those materials distributed to the parties by the Competition Administrator.

In the event that a team or team member discovers during the course of the Competition that they have inadvertently communicated with a judge, it is the obligation of that team or team member to notify the Competition Administrator in writing to ensure that the judge does not view the team in oral arguments.

9.5.5 Exhibits

The use of exhibits is prohibited in the Jessup Competition. No written or other prepared material, i.e. maps and diagrams, shall be passed or showed to judges before, during or after the pleadings.

9.6 Spectators

All Preliminary Rounds should be open to the public. Teams may agree in advance, and upon consultation with the Competition Administrator, to place a cap on the number of spectators in a room during Preliminary Rounds of Regional/National Competitions. Non-affiliated persons, including friends and relatives of team members, may attend any Round. Presence of coaches, advisors, or other spectators affiliated with the team are permitted in the courtroom during the oral pleadings of the affiliated team, as long as it is in conformity with the provisions of these Official Rules.

9.6.1 Scouting

No team member or person directly affiliated with any team may attend a Preliminary Round in which a prospective opposing team is participating, or any other Preliminary Round other than those in which their team is competing, except as waived by the Executive Director in the interests of the Competition. Violation of this Rule shall be considered scouting. Scouting is the observation of competitors or receipt of information regarding competitors' arguments from anyone and/or communication of that information to other competitors. Scouting by any person should be brought to the attention of the Competition Administrator immediately, without disturbing the round in progress, or immediately after the round has finished.

9.7 Interpreters

9.7.1 Regional/National Competitions

Regional/National Administrators may formulate National Rules Supplements concerning the use of local languages and/or interpreters in their Regional/National Competitions. Such additional Rules must be approved by the Executive Director.

9.7.2 International Competition

Teams wishing to present their oral pleadings in the International Rounds in a language other than English may arrange for interpreters to translate their oral pleadings during the International Rounds. Arrangements for and costs incurred in hiring interpreters and renting interpreter equipment are the responsibility of the team utilizing the interpreter. A team wishing to use interpreters shall inform the Executive Director no later than two (2) weeks prior to the start of the International Preliminary Rounds.

Such teams may request permission from the Executive Director to extend total team time beyond the forty-five (45) minutes normally allotted for oral pleadings. The maximum extension of time will be twenty (20) minutes per Round. The use of an interpreter in one Round does not commit the team to using an interpreter in every Round.

9.7.3 Team Members as Interpreters

A team member may serve as an interpreter for his or her teammates if: (a) he or she does not act as an oralist in the same Round in which he or she is an interpreter; and (b) he or she does not sit at the counsel table during a Round in which he or she is an interpreter; and (c) he or she does not communicate with his or her teammates in any way during the Round, except to translate during the oral pleadings.

9.7.4 Non-Embellishment by Interpreters

When a team employs an interpreter, the interpreter may only engage in a literal interpretation of the oralists' pleadings and responses. No embellishment on the part of the interpreter to enhance or clarify the oralists' arguments or responses is allowed. Teams engaging interpreters are subject to loss of the Round if the interpreter is found to have aided the team in the process of translation.

9.8 Audio and Videotaping

No audio or videotaping of oral pleadings is permitted without the advance permission of the two (2) participating teams and either: 1) the Regional/National Administrator at their respective competition; or 2) the Executive Director. In no circumstances are participating teams permitted to view or listen to any such audio tape, until after the completion of the Regional/National/International Competition in which the taped Round occurs. The International Law Students Association reserves all rights to the audio and videotaping, or any other form of aural or visual reproduction, of any Jessup Competition Round. All teams participating in the World Championship Jessup Cup Round will be deemed to have consented to taping and broadcasting of that Round.

9.9 Anonymity of Teams in Courtrooms

Teams shall be identified by team number and side of the dispute they represent only. However, team members may provide their individual names to judges for purposes of conducting courtroom discussions.

Participants shall not expose the identity of their team through direct or indirect questioning by judges, name tags or other signifiers, or other means including, but not limited to, the placement of folders, files, library books or other materials bearing the name or logo of the school on the counsel table, and the wearing of pins or clothing revealing the identity of their country or university.

OFFICIAL RULE 10.0 REGIONAL/NATIONAL PAIRING PROCEDURES

10.1 Preliminary Rounds

Regional/National Competitions shall consist of four (4) rounds of preliminary oral pleadings; however, if four (4) or fewer teams are participating in such Competition, the Executive Director may permit fewer rounds. Each team competing in a Regional/National Competition shall plead twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent, pursuant to these Official Rules and approved National Rules Supplements.

Teams shall, to the degree possible, face any opposing team only once in the Preliminary Rounds of a Regional/National Competition. In the event that teams must face each other in two (2) Preliminary Rounds, each team shall plead as Applicant in one Round and Respondent in the other Round.

10.1.1 Oralists' Round Designations

Oralists who will argue in more than two (2) Preliminary Rounds, and who wish to be considered for Best Oralist Awards, shall designate before the start of the first round of the Competition which two (2) Rounds shall be used for purposes of Best Oralist determinations. Oralist round designations should be provided to the Competition Administrator on the form provided at orientation or otherwise in writing, identifying the team member by name and team number. Oralists failing to designate two (2) rounds will be judged on the first two (2) rounds in which they argue.

10.1.2 Pairings

The pairing of teams for Preliminary Rounds of the Competition shall be done, in the first instance, by a random draw. Official pairings of teams once completed by the Regional/National Administrator shall be final, except as may be modified by the Regional/National Administrator to account for teams which do not appear at the start of the Competition. The Administrator shall have the power in such circumstances to revise

the pairings. If teams must be newly paired, they must be provided appropriate memorials as soon as reasonably possible, but in no event less than fifteen (15) minutes prior to the start of the newly paired round.

10.1.3 Sudden Death Rounds

If only two (2) teams are competing in a Regional or National Competition, both teams may agree in advance to Sudden Death Elimination Rounds. In such Rounds, each team argues only once as Applicant and once as Respondent (for a total of two rounds), to determine the winning team. In Sudden Death Rounds, the Competition scoring system shall be adapted accordingly by the Regional or National Administrator, in consultation with the Executive Director.

10.2 Quarterfinal Rounds

If more than sixteen (16) teams are participating in a Regional or National Competition, the Regional or National Administrator shall hold Quarterfinal Rounds among the eight (8) highest-ranking teams from the Preliminary Rounds in order to determine which teams shall advance to the Semifinal Rounds.

10.3 Semifinal Rounds

In Regional or National Competitions of eight (8) or more teams, the Regional or National Administrator shall hold Semifinal Run-off Rounds among the four (4) highest-ranking teams from the Preliminary Rounds (or the winners of the Quarterfinal Rounds, if applicable) in order to determine which teams shall advance to the Championship Round.

10.4 Championship Rounds

One Championship Round shall be conducted in each Regional/National Competition, pursuant to the procedures outlined in Official Rule 10.5 and 11.5.

10.5 Pleading option

Unless otherwise provided in an approved National Rules Supplement, in the Quarterfinal and Semifinal Rounds the higher-ranking team, based upon the rankings in the Preliminary Rounds, shall have the right to choose which side it will argue (this right is called the “pleading option”).

The pleading option for a Championship Round shall be determined by a coin toss, the highest ranking team from the Preliminary Rounds having the right to call the toss. The Competition Administrator shall appoint the party to toss the coin.

Before the coin toss both teams shall have the opportunity to review their completed master team scoresheets from the Preliminary Rounds, but not individual judges' scoresheets or notes, prior to either the Quarterfinal or Semifinal Rounds only (whichever is first).

Once the pleading has been selected, the opposing team shall be notified immediately of the side it shall argue in the next round and both teams shall be granted a reasonable time to prepare for the next round.

10.5.1 Exercise of Pleading option

Each team earning the right to a pleading option shall be allotted thirty (30) minutes after notification of its right to exercise the pleading option to determine which side it wishes to plead in the upcoming Round. If a team fails to declare its pleading option within a thirty (30) minute period, it shall forfeit the pleading option to the opposing team. The opposing team is then given ten (10) minutes to exercise its selection. If this opposing team then fails to exercise its pleading option within a ten (10) minute period, it also shall forfeit its pleading option.

10.5.2 Automatic Pleading Designation

Should both teams fail to exercise the pleading option, or a tie cannot be broken under the provisions of Official Rule 12.4.5, the Competition Administrator shall determine the pleading option by a coin toss. The Competition Administrator shall call the toss.

10.5.3 Exchange of Memorials

Memorials shall be exchanged immediately upon the exercise of the pleading option or Automatic Pleading Designation.

OFFICIAL RULE 11.0 INTERNATIONAL PAIRING PROCEDURES

11.1 Representation

The International Rounds of the Competition shall be comprised of all teams that have won Regional or National Competitions.

11.2 Preliminary Rounds

The International Rounds shall consist of four (4) Rounds of preliminary oral pleadings. Each team shall plead twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent. The Preliminary Rounds shall be scheduled over a period of three (3) days. Every effort shall be made by the Executive Director in scheduling teams to ensure that no team is scheduled to argue in consecutive Rounds. If it is necessary for a team to argue in consecutive Rounds, the team shall argue on opposite sides of the dispute.

11.2.1 Pairings for the Preliminary Rounds

The pairing of teams for the International Rounds of the Competition shall be done, in the first instance, by a random draw by computer. To assure international balance, the initial draw shall be modified as follows to the extent possible: no team except United States representative teams should argue against more than one team from any other single nation, no team should argue against more than two teams from its immediate geographic region and no team from a nation in which English is not the primary or native language shall compete more than two times against teams from nations in which English is the primary or native language.

Pairings for the International Rounds and the memorials of opposing teams will be distributed to teams at the Competition orientation meeting.

Official pairings of teams once completed by the Executive Director shall be final, except as may be modified by the Executive Director to account for teams that fail to appear for the Competition. The Executive Director shall have the power in such circumstances to adapt the pairings. If teams must be newly paired, they shall be provided new memorials as soon as reasonably possible, but in no event less than fifteen (15) minutes prior to the beginning of the newly paired round.

11.2.2 Religious Scheduling Conflicts

The Executive Director shall make every effort to accommodate legitimate religious interests of teams in scheduling oral rounds. Such teams must notify the Executive Director in writing at least four (4) weeks prior to the Preliminary Rounds of the International Competition. If rescheduling proves impossible to accommodate a team's religious interest, the team will be asked to argue at the scheduled times.

11.2.3 Round Designations for the Best Oralist Competition

Oralists who will argue in more than two (2) Preliminary Rounds, and who wish to be considered for Best Oralist Awards, shall designate prior to the Competition which two (2) Rounds shall be used for purposes of determining Best Oralist determinations. Oralist round designations should be provided to the Competition Administrator on the form provided at orientation or otherwise in writing, identifying the team member by name and team number. Oralists failing to designate two (2) rounds will be judged on the first two (2) rounds in which they argue.

11.3 International Run-off and Quarterfinal Rounds

The International Run-Off Rounds shall consist of eight (8) pairings of the sixteen (16) highest-ranked teams from the International Preliminary Rounds, subject to Rule 11.3.1. The International Quarterfinal Rounds shall consist of four (4) pairings of each of the eight (8) teams which win a pairing in the International Run-Off Rounds. Each of the four (4) teams which win a pairing in the International Quarterfinal Rounds shall advance to the International Semifinal Rounds.

11.3.1 National Representation Limitation

No more than four (4) teams from one nation shall advance to the International Run-Off Rounds. If more than four (4) teams from one nation place among the sixteen (16) highest-ranked teams from the International Preliminary Rounds, only the four highest-ranked teams from such nation shall advance to the International Run-Off Rounds. If after the application of this rule, there are fewer than sixteen (16) teams eligible for the International Run-Off Rounds, the highest-ranked team(s) which did not otherwise qualify for the International Run-Off Rounds shall be added until the number of teams equals sixteen. This subsequent addition shall also be subject to this Rule 11.3.1.

Illustrative example: If five teams from Erewhon qualify for the International Run-Off Rounds, the fifth-ranked team from Erewhon shall not advance to the International Run-Off Rounds. The seventeenth (17th) ranked team from the International Preliminary Rounds shall be added to the International Run-Off Rounds, unless the 17th-ranked team is also from Erewhon; in such a case, the next-highest-ranked team that is not disqualified by this rule would advance.

11.3.2 Pairings for the International Run-Off and Quarterfinal Rounds

11.3.2.1 General Pairing Rule – Power-Seeding

Subject to the Previous Meeting Qualification and the National Pair-off Qualification contained in this Rule 11.3.2, the pairings in the International Run-Off and Quarterfinal Rounds shall be determined by use of "power-seeding," i.e. the highest-ranked team shall compete against the lowest-ranked team, the second-highest-ranked team shall compete against the second-lowest-ranked team, etc. For purposes of this Rule 11.3.2, all rankings shall be determined by the final standings of the International Preliminary Rounds.

Illustrative example: Under this rule, and unless one of the qualifications in this Rule applies, the pairings in the International Run-Off Rounds shall be as follows: 1 vs. 16; 2 vs. 15; 3 vs. 14; 4 vs. 13; 5 vs. 12; 6 vs. 11; 7 vs. 10; and 8 vs. 9. Likewise, unless one of the qualifications in this Rule applies, the pairings in the International Quarterfinal Rounds shall be as follows: 1 vs. 8; 2 vs. 7; 3 vs. 6; and 4 vs. 5.

11.3.2.2 Previous Meeting Qualification

If application of the General Pairing Rule would result in one or more pairings in which a team would face another team that it faced in the International Preliminary Rounds, the Executive Director shall adjust the pairings in an equitable manner in order that such pairing is avoided. Such adjustment may include adjustment to pairings that do not involve such a previous meeting. If the Executive Director determines that, with respect to a given pairing, no adjustment is possible, the Executive Director may disregard this qualification with respect to such pairing.

Should this rule conflict with the National Pair-off Qualification Rule in the International Run-off Rounds or the International Quarterfinal Rounds with respect to teams from a particular country, the Executive Director shall reorganize the teams from that country so that no teams compete who have previously met. In other words, if four teams advance from a given country and two teams are paired who have seen each other previously, the two teams who have met previously will be repaired against the remaining two teams from the same country. Should such reordering be impossible, either because of multiple prior meetings or because only two teams have advanced from that country, the Previous Meeting Qualification Rule shall be disregarded with respect to such affected pairings.

11.3.2.3 National Pair-off Qualification

- (a) If two (2) teams from a given nation advance to the International Run-Off or Quarterfinal Rounds, those two teams shall be paired against one another. All teams

not affected by this qualification shall then be paired according to the General Pairing Rule, discounting those two teams.

(b) If three (3) teams from a given nation advance to the International Run-Off Rounds, the second-ranked team from such nation shall be paired against the third-ranked team from such nation. All teams not affected by this qualification, including the first-ranked team from such nation, shall then be paired according to the General Pairing Rule, discounting those two teams. If two (2) teams from a given nation advance to the Quarterfinal Rounds, those two teams shall be paired against one another.

(c) If four (4) teams from a given nation advance to the International Run-Off Rounds, the first-ranked team from such nation shall be paired against the fourth-ranked team from such nation, and the second-ranked team from such nation shall be paired against the third-ranked team from such nation. All teams not affected by this qualification shall then be paired according to the General Pairing Rule. If two (2) teams from a given nation advance to the Quarterfinal Rounds, those two teams shall be paired against one another.

Illustrative example: Assume the sixteen teams in the International Run-Off Rounds are ranked in the following order (where Roman numerals denote multiple teams from one nation): Erewhon I; Erewhon II; Utopia I; Xanadu; Erewhon III; Pangaea; Utopia II; Atlantis; Bretoria; Pagonia; Senhava; Kuraca; Laurentia; Caledon; Remorra; Arden. In such a case, Section (b) would require that Erewhon II be paired versus Erewhon III, and Section (a) would require that Utopia I be paired against Utopia II. The General Pairing Rule would then apply to the remaining teams.

11.4 International Semifinal Rounds

The International Semifinal Rounds shall consist of the four (4) teams which advance from the International Quarterfinal Rounds. The two (2) winning teams from this Round shall advance to the World Championship Jessup Cup Round.

The pairings for the International Semifinals shall be determined by rank from the Preliminary Rounds, in accordance with the General Pairing Rule, irrespective of the Previous Meeting Qualifications but subject to the National Pair-Off Qualification.

11.5 Pleading Option in the International Rounds of the Competition

Prior to each of the International Run-Off Rounds, the International Quarterfinal Rounds, the International Semifinal Rounds and the World Championship Jessup Cup Round, the Executive Director shall determine for each pairing in such Round which team shall argue Applicant and which team shall argue Respondent by coin toss using the following procedure.

- (a) The Executive Director or his/her designee shall toss a coin, and the higher-ranked team in that pairing shall call the toss. After the toss is called, each team shall receive its own master scoresheet or other summary of its performance in the International Preliminary Rounds.
- (b) The team winning the coin toss shall have thirty (30) minutes to select whether it will argue Applicant or Respondent.
- (c) If, after thirty (30) minutes, the team required to select its side has not made a selection, the other team shall then have ten (10) minutes to select whether it will argue Applicant or Respondent.
- (d) If after such additional ten (10) minute period, the other team has not made a selection, the Executive Director shall again toss a coin. If the coin lands "heads," the higher-ranked team shall argue Applicant; if the coin lands "tails," the lower-ranked team shall argue Applicant.
- (e) The Executive Director shall then notify each team which side it will be arguing and will deliver to each team copies of the appropriate Memorials of the other team. After the conclusion of this

process, both teams shall immediately return their master scoresheets to the Executive Director. Both teams shall then be afforded a reasonable amount of time to prepare for the pairing.

Illustrative Example: Xanadu is paired against Erewhon in one pairing of the International Semifinal Rounds. Xanadu was higher-ranked in the International Preliminary Rounds. The Executive Director tosses a coin, and Xanadu calls "heads." The coin lands "heads." The Executive Director then gives the Xanadu master scoresheet to the Xanadu team and the Erewhon master scoresheet to the Erewhon team. Twenty-nine minutes later, the Xanadu captain indicates to the Executive Director that Xanadu wishes to argue Applicant. The Executive Director notifies the Erewhon captain that Erewhon will argue Respondent. Each team then returns its master scoresheet to the Executive Director. The Executive Director then delivers one copy of Xanadu's Applicant Memorial to the Erewhon captain and one copy of Erewhon's Respondent Memorial to the Xanadu captain.

OFFICIAL RULE 12.0 COMPETITION SCORING

12.1 Preliminary Rounds

Scoring of the Preliminary Rounds of the Jessup Competition shall consist of two parts: the scoring of the written memorials, and the scoring of the oral arguments.

Each team memorial shall be submitted to three (3) memorial judges. The memorials shall be reviewed and scored by each judge on a scale of fifty (50) to one hundred (100) points.

Likewise, each oral argument will be scored by a panel of three (3) judges. Each judge shall score each oralist on a scale of fifty (50) to one hundred (100) points.

Three (3) judge panels shall be used to score memorials and oral arguments whenever possible, subject to the exceptions provided by these Official Rules. (see Official Rule 6.0)

12.2 Calculation of Scoring Points

Two (2) categories of points shall be awarded to teams in each stage of the Competition: Raw Score and Round Points.

12.2.1 Raw Scores

The calculation of Raw Scores shall be subject to the deduction of Penalty Points under the provisions of Official Rule 13.0.

12.2.1.1 Memorial Raw Scores

The calculation of the Total Memorial Raw Score for each team per Round is determined by adding together the three (3) memorial judges' scores for the side the team argued in that respective Round.

The Total Competition Memorial Raw Score is determined by adding all six (6) scores for the Applicant and Respondent memorials combined. This total score shall be used for purposes of Best Memorial Awards.

12.2.1.2 Oral Raw Scores

The calculation of the Total Oral Raw Score for each team per Round shall be determined by adding the scores of the three (3) judges for each oralist. There will be therefore a total of six scores per team (2 oralists) per round.

12.2.1.3 Total Raw Scores

The Total Raw Score for each Round shall be the sum of the team's Total Memorial Raw Scores for that round and the team's Total Oral Raw Score for that round. The Total Competition Raw Score is determined by adding the Total Raw Scores from each of the four rounds.

12.2.2 Round Points

12.2.2.1 Memorial Round Points

A total of three (3) Round Points may be awarded to memorials in each Round. The individual memorial judges' scores for each team participating in a round are compared to those of the opposing team. The highest score given by a memorial judge for each team will be compared against the highest score given the opposing team, then the next highest, and finally the lowest. For each comparison, the team with the higher score will be awarded one (1) Round Point. If a judge rates the teams equally, the point from that judge shall be split, each team receiving one-half (.5) of a Judge's Point.

12.2.2.2 Oral Round Points

A total of six (6) Round Points may be awarded for oral argument scores in each Round. The score from each judge's evaluation of the two oralists combined shall be compared to that judge's score for the two oralists on the opposing team. The higher scoring team per judge per round shall be awarded two (2) Round Points. If a judge scores the teams equally, the points from that judge are split, each team receiving one (1) Round Point.

12.2.2.3 Total Round Points

The Total Round Points for each Round shall be the sum of a team's Memorial Round Points and Oral Round Points.

12.3 Two (2) Judge Panels

In exceptional instances, a two (2) judge panel for the scoring of memorials or oral arguments is permissible. A one (1) judge panel is never permissible. When a two (2) judge panel is used, the following adaptations shall be made to the scoring process:

12.3.1 Memorial Scoring for Two (2) Judge Panels

Memorials scored by two (2) judge panels shall have a third averaged score added to their total. This third score shall be determined by averaging the scores of the other two (2) memorial judges. The averaged third score shall be recorded as the third judge's score, and will be figured as the middle score for purposes of calculating Memorial Round Points.

12.3.2 Oral Pleading Scoring for Two (2) Judge Panels

Oral pleadings scored by two (2) judge panels shall have a third averaged score added to their total. This third score shall be determined by averaging the scores of the other two (2) oral judges. The averaged third score shall be recorded as the third judge's score.

The third averaged score may not be used for purposes of determining Best Oralist. In such a case, only the two "real" scores may be averaged with those of the oralist's other round.

12.4 Determination of Winners and Rankings from Preliminary Rounds

12.4.1 Determination of Winner of an Individual Round

The winner of the round will be determined by Round Points. The team receiving the greatest number of the nine (9) available Round Points wins the round. In the event that teams have an equal number of Round Points, the team with the highest Total Raw Score shall be declared the winner of the Round. Round Points control over Raw Score in the individual rounds.

12.4.2 Win-loss Record

The overall win-loss record for the Preliminary Rounds shall govern team rankings. A team with a win-loss record of 4-0 (4 wins, 0 losses) will rank higher than a team with a win-loss record of 3-1 (3 wins, 1 loss), which will rank higher than a team with a win-loss record of 2-2, etc.

12.4.3 Total Competition Raw Scores

If two (2) teams are tied after comparing win-loss records, the Total Competition Raw Score then controls and the team having the highest Total Competition Raw Score from the Preliminary Rounds of the Competition shall be ranked higher. The Total Competition Raw Scores are calculated by adding the Raw Scores from the team's four (4) Rounds. There are thirty-six hundred (3,600) possible raw score points.

After win-loss, the Total Competition Raw Score governs even when two (2) teams that are tied with the same win-loss record faced each other during the Preliminary Rounds, or the team with the higher Raw Score was not the winner of the Round where the two (2) teams had faced each other.

12.4.4 Total Competition Round Points

If two (2) or more teams remain tied after comparing win-loss records and Total Competition Raw Score, the team with the highest Total Competition Round Points from the Preliminary Rounds shall be ranked first.

The Total Competition Round Points are calculated by adding the Total Round Points from the team's four (4) Rounds.

There are thirty-six (36) possible Round Points from the Preliminary Rounds of the Competition: nine (9) points per Round (six (6) oral and three (3) memorial) per the two (2) Applicant and two (2) Respondent Rounds.

12.4.5 Tie-Breaking Procedure

If the outcome of the determination does not affect either team's qualification for entry into the Quarterfinal, Semifinal or Championship Rounds of the Competition, the Administrator should declare an official tie for purposes of the Final Rankings.

If the outcome of the determination does affect either team's qualification for entry into the Run-off, Quarterfinal or Semifinal rounds and if teams are still tied after the comparison of win/loss records, Total Raw Score, and Total Round Points, the rankings shall be accomplished as follows:

(a) If only two (2) teams are tied and if the two (2) tied teams have faced each other in the Preliminary Rounds, the winner of that Round may be ranked higher for purposes of Final Rankings, but only if the tie exists after all other ranking provisions have failed to break the tie.

(b) If only two teams are tied and the teams have not faced each other in earlier Rounds, and time permits, a special Run-Off Round may be scheduled. The pleading option for such a Round should be determined by coin toss. The coin toss shall be called by the Administrator. The winner of such a Round shall be ranked higher for the purposes of Final Rankings.

If none of the methods described herein settles the tie, the Executive Director shall determine the proper method for establishing official rankings. If the Executive Director can not be contacted, the Regional/National Administrator may proceed at their discretion, taking into consideration the interests of the teams and the Competition as a whole.

12.5 Scoring Procedures for Run-off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal and Championship Rounds

Special scoring procedures and guidelines shall apply to the Quarterfinal, Semifinal, Run-off and Championship Rounds of National, Regional and International Competitions, unless modified under approved National Rules Supplements.

12.5.1 Method of Scoring

Judges of Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal, and Championship Rounds at all levels of the Competition shall make an independent review of the team memorials and oral arguments. Judges may employ a point scoring system of their individual choice to aid them in making their individual determinations, including the use of the scoring system from the Preliminary Rounds. **A Preliminary Round Oral Scoresheet shall be provided to the judges to use at their discretion.** The decision regarding the winner of the Round shall be by majority decision of the judges on the panel. No ties are allowed.

Judges shall not decide the case on the merits, but judge the teams on their performance. Judges shall treat the Round as a courtroom encounter, giving no particular fixed weight to either memorials or arguments, but judging on the overall performance of each team, the memorials and oral arguments each consisting as part of that performance.

12.5.2 Preliminary Round Scores

The judges in Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal, and Championship Rounds at all levels of the Competition shall not be informed of the scores assigned to team memorials or oral pleadings in the Preliminary Rounds. Their review of the teams shall be independent of the Preliminary Rounds.

Penalties from the Preliminary Rounds shall not apply in the Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal, or Championship Rounds.

12.5.3 Judges' Comments

Judges should always provide direct feedback to teams regarding their performance at the completion of the Round. They shall not engage in any commentary which may reveal the content of the Bench Memorandum or announce the winner of the round.

12.6 Ranking of Teams

The winner of the Championship Round shall be Champion and the opposing team shall be recognized as the Runner-Up. All other teams shall be ranked according to their place from the Preliminary Rounds.

12.7 Ranking of Oralists

Total Scores for each oralist in the Competition shall be determined by adding the six (6) judges' scores from two (2) Preliminary Rounds. The total score from those two (2) Rounds shall be averaged to determine the oralist's ranking. Scores will include any penalties assessed against the oralist.

If the oralist argued in more than two (2) Rounds, only the scores from rounds designated by the oralist prior to the Preliminary Rounds shall be used. In the event that no designation was made, the first two (2) Rounds in which the oralist argued shall be used. Oralists shall be ranked from highest to lowest. Ties are permitted. If an oralist argued in only one (1) Round, he or she is ineligible for ranking.

12.8 Ranking of Memorials

Total Memorial Scores for each team shall be determined by adding the Total Raw Score of a team's Applicant Memorial and the Total Raw Score of the Respondent Memorial, six (6) total judges scores, for a possible total score of six hundred (600) points. Team memorials shall be ranked from the highest Total Memorial Score to the lowest. Ties are permitted in the ranking of memorials. Scores shall include penalties per the provisions of Official Rule 13.0.

12.9 Reporting of Results

After the conclusion of each Regional, National or International Competition, each team participating in such Competition shall receive the following:

- (a) a copy of individual memorial judges' scoresheets and penalties, if any, with attendant comments, if any;
- (b) a copy of individual oral judge's scoresheets and penalties, if any, with attendant comments, if any, from Preliminary Rounds of the Competition;
- (c) a copy of the Overall Rankings of the Preliminary Rounds of the Competition, with the Total accumulated Win-Loss records, Overall Raw Scores, and Overall Round Points;
- (d) a copy of the Oralist Rankings from the Preliminary Rounds of the Competition, including all Total Individual Oral Scores;
- (e) a copy of the Memorial Rankings from the Preliminary Rounds of the Competition, including all Total Memorial Scores; and
- (f) a summary of the Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semi-final, and Championship Rounds of the Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 13.0 PENALTIES

13.1 General Procedure

The following is a list of Penalties which may be imposed upon teams in the National/Regional/ International Competition, subject to approved National Rules Supplements. Penalties in Regional/National Competitions do not carry over to the International Rounds.

13.2 Types of Penalties

The power of the Competition Administrator to assess Penalties is divided into two (2) categories: Non-discretionary (Official Rule 13.5), and Discretionary (Official Rule 13.6). Non-discretionary and Discretionary Penalties shall be applied against a team either as a Generic or Specific Penalty.

Generic Penalties are a general violation and apply against a team in all its rounds, e.g. mailing a memorial late to the Administrator.

A Specific Penalty is a specific violation, and shall apply against a team only in a specific round, e.g. mailing a memorial late to just one particular team, or being penalized for disruptive behavior against one particular team.

13.3 Application of Penalties

All penalties apply against each judge's score, i.e. a penalty of fifteen (15) points shall have a cumulative effect of forty-five (45) total penalty points from the combined score of three (3) judges.

13.4 Minimum Scores

The minimum score for any team, per judge, is fifty (50) points. No further reduction may be made to scores after the minimum score is reached, regardless of unallocated penalty points remaining.

13.5 Non-Discretionary Penalties

For the following violations, penalties will be assessed mechanically.

13.5.1 Non-Discretionary Memorial Penalties

The following penalties may be imposed only by the Competition Administrator and shall be deducted from each of the individual judges' scores on a team's memorial. In the event that a memorial is scored by only two (2) judges under the provisions of Official Rule 12.3 et seq., the penalties shall be deducted from each of the two (2) judge's scores prior to calculating the third score.

In instances where only one memorial is in violation of the Rule, memorial penalties may be deducted from the scores of the offending memorial only.

The Competition Administrator shall notify all affected teams of imposed penalties prior to the first Round of the Preliminary Competition.

13.5.1.1 Tardiness in Mailing Memorials

Memorials postmarked after the deadline for Regional/National Competitions, or as noted on the International Competition Timetable shall be penalized fifteen (15) points.

13.5.1.2 Extreme Tardiness in Mailing Memorials

Memorials shall be penalized three (3) points per day, in addition to the initial fifteen (15) points penalty for tardiness, up to an additional five (5) days. Memorials for either the Applicant or Respondent not postmarked within six (6) days of the Regional/National deadline shall be eliminated from competition.

13.5.1.3 Failure to Include a Summary of Pleadings or other additional parts

Memorials which do not include a Summary of the Pleadings or other additional required part pursuant to the provisions of Official Rule 8.3 shall be penalized five (5) points for each missing part.

13.5.1.4 Failure to Include a Statement of Facts, or submission of an argumentative Statement of Facts

Memorials which do not include a Statement of Facts or which are not limited to the stipulated facts in the problem as required in Official Rule 8.3 shall be penalized five (5) points.

13.5.1.5 Failure to Differentiate Applicant and Respondent Memorials

Teams which fail to differentiate Applicant and Respondent memorials by different non white covers will be penalized three (3) points for each memorial.

13.5.1.6 Displaying Names of Team Members on Memorials

Teams which print or otherwise display the names of team members in their memorial (i.e. on the front cover, a signature page, or in the Pleadings or other parts) shall be penalized five (5) points per offending memorial.

13.5.1.7 Inclusion of School Name

Teams which include the name of their school, nation or team anywhere in their memorial will be penalized fifteen (15) points per offending memorial.

13.5.1.8 Failure to Include Team Number on Cover of Memorial

Teams which fail to include the team number on the cover of their memorials shall be penalized five (5) points per offending memorial.

13.5.1.9 Failure to Designate Proper Memorial Type

Teams which fail to designate the proper memorial-type (i.e., A or R) on the upper right corner on the cover of their memorials shall be penalized two (2) points per offending memorial.

13.5.1.10 Failure to Double-Space Pleadings Section

Teams which fail to double-space the Pleadings shall be penalized three (3) points per offending page, to a maximum of fifteen (15) points.

13.5.1.11 Failure to Double-Space Between Footnotes and Headings

Teams which fail to double-space between footnotes and headings in the Pleadings shall be penalized two (2) points for each page on which the violation occurs, to a maximum of ten (10) points.

13.5.1.12 Length of the entire Memorial

Teams exceeding the word limit, as mentioned in Rule 8.4, shall be penalized fifteen (15) points for an entire memorial exceeding 12,000 words.

13.5.1.13 Length of the Pleadings

Teams exceeding the page limit, as mentioned in Rule 8.4, shall be penalized fifteen (15) points per page over 25 pages for the Pleadings, assuming double spacing.

13.5.1.14 Length of the Summary of Pleadings

Teams using more than two pages for the Summary of Pleadings shall be penalized two (2) points per page.

13.5.1.15 Type-size

Improper type-size, as mentioned in Rule 8.2, shall be penalized three (3) points per violating page, to a maximum of fifteen (15) points.

13.5.1.16 Margins

Improper margins shall be penalized two (2) points per violating page, to a maximum of ten (10) points.

13.5.1.17 Binding

Improper binding of team memorials shall be penalized five (5) points.

13.5.2 Non-discretionary Oral Pleading Penalties

13.5.2.1 Procedure

The Competition Administrator is empowered to impose non-discretionary Oral Pleading Penalties based upon consultation with the judges only. If the Competition Administrator confirms the occurrence of an event subject to imposition of non-discretionary penalties, the penalty may be applied. In instances where the judges cannot confirm the occurrence, or the judges have provided only written commentary on the occurrence, the Competition Administrator shall consult with the affected teams and courtroom bailiff prior to imposing the penalty.

If a team believes that a violation of a nature which would incur a discretionary penalty has occurred, the team may bring the alleged violation to the attention of the courtroom bailiff in writing

within five (5) minutes of the completion of the Round. Written complaints shall clearly identify the violation and the parties involved in the violation. The teams shall in no case directly approach the judges regarding a potential penalty or violation of these Official Rules. Complaints brought directly to judges shall be disregarded. Teams shall approach the bailiff in the first instance, or the Competition Administrator if there is no bailiff.

13.5.2.2 Consultation with Bailiffs

The judges or Competition Administrator may consult with the courtroom bailiff, if necessary, to verify or otherwise confirm a penalty. The bailiff shall inform the Competition Administrator of the alleged violation. The Competition Administrator shall consult with the judges in investigating the alleged violation. If the Competition Administrator cannot be located, the bailiff shall assist the judges to summarize the complaint and their impressions briefly on paper for the benefit of the Competition Administrator. Courtroom bailiffs may not confirm a ruling or interpret these Rules, but may only provide assistance in verifying circumstances or the occurrence of an event.

13.5.2.3 Deduction of Penalties by Judges Prohibited

In no instance shall judges themselves deduct from the scores of the oralists any penalty points. Judges shall score the Round as if no violation occurred and inform the Competition Administrator after the round of any violations occurred during the Round. Penalty points shall be deducted only by the Competition Administrator.

13.5.3 Activity Subject to Non-Discretionary Penalties

13.5.3.1 Communication Between Counsel Table and Spectators

Team members which communicate between the counsel table and any other person other than judges in the courtroom during the oral pleading shall be penalized ten (10) points.

13.5.3.2 Submission of Written or Other Materials to Judges

Teams violating Official Rule 9.5, regarding the submission of written or other materials to judges shall be penalized fifteen (15) points.

13.5.3.3 Scouting

Teams which are determined to have scouted shall be penalized all six (6) oral Round Points for the Round in which they compete against the team they scouted. Memorial points for the Round shall be calculated as if no violation occurred. At the discretion of the Executive Director, such teams may also be ineligible to advance to the Run-Off, Quarterfinal, Semifinal and Championship Rounds of the Competition.

13.5.3.4 Identification of Team by School Name or Nation

Teams which violate the requirements of anonymity in the courtroom shall be penalized fifteen (15) points.

13.6 Discretionary Penalties

13.6.1 General Violations

The Competition Administrator may assess up to fifteen (15) point penalties for violations of these Official Rules not specifically listed under Non-Discretionary Penalties including, but not limited to, violations as to revisions and form of memorials, failing to adhere to the rules governing rebuttal (see Official Rule 9.2), and inappropriate behavior on the part of team members or affiliated parties during the Competition. The size of the penalty shall correspond to the degree of the violation in the judgment of the Competition Administrator. Discretionary penalties shall be initiated and imposed only by the Competition Administrator. Teams are limited to bringing potential violations to the attention of the Competition Administrator in writing.

13.6.2 Special Consideration for Oral Rounds

Only judges, or bailiffs, shall be empowered to bring potential violations from the oral proceedings to the attention of the Competition Administrator. Exceptions shall be made in circumstances involving violations which occur prior to or after the actual oral proceeding outside the courtroom where judges or bailiffs would not otherwise be privy to the circumstances, or in situations where the courtroom judges clearly ignore their obligations. In such instances, teams may submit timely written notification of potential violations to the Competition Administrator.

13.6.3 Activity Subject to Discretionary Penalties

13.6.3.1 Unsportsmanlike Behavior by Team Members or Affiliated Persons

The Competition Administrator may, after consultation with judges, impose up to a fifteen (15) point penalty against a team for behavior which substantively prejudices the conduct of the Competition, including, but not limited to:

- (a) engaging in poor sportsmanship;
- (b) submitting petty and verbose complaints against other teams;
- (c) inappropriate behavior at the counsel table during the Oral Rounds;
- (d) inappropriate discussion with oral pleading judges before their submission of scores at the end of a Round; and
- (e) blatant disregard for the procedures or requirements outlined in the Official Rules of the Competition.

A penalty for unsportsmanlike conduct in the court room must be witnessed by at least the bailiff or one judge in the court room. In all cases, the Competition Administrator shall hear from both teams, the accuser and the accused, and consult with the bailiff, and judges before making a final determination.

Penalties for unsportsmanlike behavior may be imposed in addition to any other penalties which may have been imposed under the provisions of these Official Rules.

13.6.3.2 Activity of Affiliated Persons

In exceptional circumstances, the Competition Administrator has the discretion to impose from three (3) to fifteen (15) point penalties when a coach, advisor or other person affiliated with the team has disclosed his or her team's identity to one or more judges before whom their team shall compete.

For coaches or advisors who fear being recognized by judges, concerns may be alleviated by avoiding talking to, sitting near or directly behind either team and not communicating with either team in the presence of the judges.

13.7 Notice to Teams

The Administrator shall notify teams of the imposition of such penalties prior to the beginning of the Preliminary Rounds of a Competition, if possible, or as soon as practicable if incurred after the beginning of the rounds.

13.8 Appeals

Any penalized team shall be granted an opportunity to reply to complaints by opposing teams or penalties assessed by the Administrator prior to a final ruling. The Competition Administrator may protect the anonymity of a complaining team. Appeals of a penalty assessment or Rules interpretation of the Competition Administrator shall be provided to the Competition Administrator in writing within one (1) hour of notice of the penalty, and to the Executive Director within twenty-four (24) hours of the conclusion of the Regional/National Competition. The Executive Director's decision on all appeals is final.

OFFICIAL RULE 14.0 INTERPRETATION OF RULES

14.1 General

Questions concerning the interpretation of these Rules or National Rules Supplements during the Regional/National Competitions must be submitted to the Regional/National Administrator. Questions that arise during the International Rounds of the Competition concerning the interpretation of these Official Rules must be submitted to the Executive Director.

Bailiffs, administrative assistants and judges are not authorized to interpret these rules, but they may be consulted by the Competition Administrator as witnesses of alleged violations of these Official Rules.

14.2 De Minimis Rule

When the impact of an alleged violation of these Official Rules is so insignificant as to be determined by the Competition Administrator to be de minimis, he or she may modify or waive the penalty. Any de minimis exception shall be applied evenly to all teams.

14.3 Power to Promulgate Additional Measures

The Executive Director may promulgate such other measures as may be deemed advisable for the orderly conduct of the Competition or to correct deficiencies in the Competition. Competition Administrators may, in consultation with and upon approval of the Executive Director, promulgate such other measures as may be deemed advisable for the orderly conduct of the Regional/National Competition. Modifications shall not violate the spirit of these Official Rules or the best interests of the Competition.

OFFICIAL RULE 15.0 AWARDS

15.1 Memorial Awards

All memorials in the Jessup Competition become the sole property of the International Law Students Association, and shall not be republished without its express consent.

15.1.1 Regional/National Competitions

The winner of the Best Memorial Award in Regional/National Competitions shall be the team with the highest Total Memorial Raw Score. The highest possible score is six hundred (600) points. The winning memorial shall advance to compete in the Hardy C. Dillard Competition.

15.1.1.1 The Hardy C. Dillard Award

Teams receiving a Best Memorial Award in Regional/National Competition are invited to submit their Applicant and Respondent memorials to the Hardy C. Dillard Award Competition.

Each team eligible for the competition shall send six (6) copies of each of its Applicant and Respondent memorials by the date noted in the Official Timeline. Entries in the Dillard Competition shall be scored by a new panel of three (3) judges. The five (5) best memorials shall be declared winners of the award.

Penalties applied to Memorials in the Preliminary Rounds of Regional, National or International Competitions shall not apply to the Dillard Award Competition.

15.1.2 International Competition

15.1.2.1 The Alona E. Evans Award

The Alona E. Evans Award is presented to the five (5) Best Team Memorials from the Preliminary Rounds of the International Rounds of the Competition.

15.1.2.3 The Richard Baxter Award

The memorials from the five (5) best teams in the Alona E. Evans Competition, and the Hardy C. Dillard Competition shall be entered into the Richard Baxter Award Competition. If a memorial is entered twice as one of the top five (5) memorials in the Dillard and in the Evans Competition, the duplicate memorial from the Hardy C. Dillard Award Competition shall be removed and the next highest-ranking memorial from that Competition shall be entered in its place.

There shall be two (2) Baxter Awards: one (1) for the Best Overall Applicant and one (1) for the Best Overall Respondent. The Best Applicant and Best Respondent memorials shall be declared winners of the award. Baxter Award-winning memorials shall be published in the corresponding year's issue of the ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law.

15.2 Best Oralist Awards

The winner of the Best Oralist Award in the Regional, National or International Rounds of the Competition shall be the oralist with the highest averaged score from the Preliminary Rounds.

The determination of the Best Oralist in the Championship Round of a Regional/National or International Competition shall be made by majority vote of the judges. The judges' decision shall be announced at the end of the Championship Round.

15.3 Team Awards

15.3.1 Regional/National Competitions

Champions of Regional/National Competitions shall be the winning team from the Championship Round of the Competition. The National Champion shall automatically proceed to the International Rounds of the Competition.

Regional/National Runners-up shall be considered the second place team in the Regional or National Competition. If a Regional/National Champion is unable to attend the International Rounds, the Executive Director may recognize the Regional/National Runner-up as the legitimate representative of that Region or Nation.

Other awards may be awarded by the Administrator in accordance with these Official Rules and approved National Rules Supplements.

15.3.2 International Competition

15.3.2.1 Quarterfinal Rounds - Top Eight Teams

Teams qualifying for the International Quarterfinal Rounds following the International Run-Off Rounds shall receive an award in recognition of being an International Quarterfinalist.

15.3.2.2 Semifinal Rounds - Top Four Teams

Teams qualifying for the International Semifinal Rounds shall receive an award in recognition of being an International Semifinalist.

15.3.2.3 World Championship Jessup Cup Round

The winner of the World Championship Jessup Cup Round will be declared the Jessup Cup World Champion. The second place team in the Championship Round shall be the Jessup Cup World Championship Runner-up.

The Jessup Cup World Championship team will receive two (2) awards: a trophy to keep, and the Jessup Cup to hold in trust for one year at their University. The Championship team shall take full responsibility for the safety of the Jessup Cup while it is in their custody and is responsible for returning the Jessup Cup in polished condition to the Executive Director prior to the next year's International Rounds.

15.3.2.4 Spirit of the Jessup Award

The Spirit of the Jessup Award was created in 1996 to recognize the team that best exemplifies the Jessup spirit of camaraderie, academic excellence, competitiveness, and appreciation of fellow competitors. The award is voted upon by the Jessup participants at the International Rounds or chosen by the Executive Director after consultation of teams. The award is intended to establish the standard to which all participants should strive to govern their performance and professional demeanor.

